

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/665,268 09/18/2003 6279.220-US 7113 Henrik Sonderskov Klint EXAMINER 12/15/2005 7590 Reza Green, Esq. DESANTO, MATTHEW F Novo Nordisk Pharmaceuticals, Inc. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 100 College Road West Princeton, NJ 08540 3763

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/665,268	10/665,268		KLINT ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Matthew F. D		3763		
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TO Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thing I have precised above, the maximum of the second of the se	HE MAILING DA visions of 37 CFR 1.13 s communication. num statutory period w or reply will, by statute, onths after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I vill apply and will ex cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Se</u>	eptember 200	<u>3</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to results.	_ is/are withdraw	vn from consi				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/18/03 Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	is/are: a) \(\subseteq \) according to the correction to the correction to the correction is a subsete of the correction is a subset of the correc	cepted or b) drawing(s) be h ion is required i	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/100,493. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/18/03, 11/26/03.		5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other: IDS (PTO-14	ate Patent Application (PT	Г О -152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/665,268

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).
- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,268 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

1. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Calabrese (USPN 4,335,718). Calabrese disclosed a needle cannula with a lumen having the same uniform inside diameter through said needle cannula and where the outside sidewalls tapered towards the skin and wherein there are two portions of the needle cannula with a proximal end and a distal end in a relationship with a peripheral transition zone, and where the proximal end has the same uniform outside diameter, (Figure 3 and entire reference).

- 2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kramer et al. (USPN 5,868,711). Kramer et al. disclosed a needle cannula with a lumen having the same uniform inside diameter through said needle cannula and where the outside sidewalls tapered towards the skin and wherein there are two portions of the needle cannula with a proximal end and a distal end in relationship with a peripheral transition zone, and where the proximal end has the same uniform outside diameter, (Figure 11 and entire reference).
- 3. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barnhart (UPSN 2,187,259).

Barnhart discloses a needle cannula with a lumen having the same uniform inside diameter through said needle cannula and where the outside sidewalls tapered towards the skin and wherein there are two portions of the needle cannula with a proximal end and a distal end in relationship with a peripheral transition zone, and where the proximal end has the same uniform outside diameter, (Figure 13, and entire reference).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calabrese.

Calabrese disclosed the claimed invention, but fails to give the specific size and dimensions for the needle's diameter.

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to construct the device from the claimed dimensions since the Federal Circuit has held, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimension/size/proportion of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently that the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kramer et al.

Kramer et al. disclosed the claimed invention except for the size and the dimensions of the outer and inner diameters of the needle.

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to construct the device from the claimed dimensions since the Federal Circuit has held, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative

Application/Control Number: 10/665,268

Art Unit: 3763

dimension/size/proportion of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently that the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnhart.

Barnhart disclosed the claimed invention except for the size and the dimensions of the outer and inner diameters of the needle.

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to construct the device from the claimed dimensions since the Federal Circuit has held, where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimension/size/proportion of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently that the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew F. DeSanto whose telephone number is 571-272-4957. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick LUCCHESI can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,268 Page 6

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew DeSanto Art Unit 3763

December 12, 2005

MICHOLAS D. LUCCETES!

3:00